

Iowa Toxics Sampling 2015

Results for Benzene, Acetaldehyde and Formaldehyde




Table of Contents

Summary	3
Scope.....	3
Sampling Schedules.....	3
Data Capture	3
Data Handling.....	4
Precision Data	4
Results of the Analysis	4
References	6
Air Toxics Monitoring Network 2015	7
Iowa 2015 Air Toxics Monitoring Network	8
Clinton Toxics Monitoring Site	9
Cedar Rapids Toxics Monitoring Site	10
Muscatine Toxics Monitoring Site.....	11
Des Moines Toxics Monitoring Site.....	12
Davenport Toxics Monitoring Site	13
Excess Cancer Risk per Million People, Aldehydes – 2015	14
Excess Cancer Risk per Million People, Aldehydes – 2015	15
Concentration Summary – Aldehydes (ppb).....	16
Excess Cancer Risk* per Million People, Benzene – 2015	17
Excess Cancer Risk per Million People, Benzene – 2015	18
Concentration Summary – Benzene (ppb).....	19
Percent Data Capture.....	20
Annual Toxics Precision Statistics	21
Formaldehyde Concentrations 2015	22
Acetaldehyde Concentrations 2015.....	23
Benzene Concentrations 2015	24
Raw Data – Formaldehyde Concentration (ppb)	25
Raw Data – Acetaldehyde Concentration (ppb)	26
Raw Data – Benzene Concentration (ppb).....	27
Appendix A. Precision Calculations.....	28

Summary

Scope

This report has been edited from its previous iteration to incorporate the corrected benzene data. A description of what transpired is included in the [Data Handling](#) section of this summary.

Section 112 of the Clean Air Act [1] contains the federal strategy for protecting the public from air toxics emissions. The Act specifies a particular list of air toxics called “hazardous air pollutants” (HAPs) for regulatory action [2]. Emitters of large amounts of these HAPs are subject to regulations that require adoption of work practices or installation of control technologies in order to reduce HAP emissions [3]. The Act requires a periodic assessment of the residual health risk posed by the HAPs [4] and adoption of additional control standards where necessary [5].

In order to establish long term trends in HAP concentrations across the nation as a component of its residual risk assessment, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has funded national air toxics trends stations (NATTS) [6]. These sites contain a standard suite of samplers and analytical protocols [7]. Unlike NATTS sites, Iowa’s air toxics sites do not have instrumentation to measure toxic metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, or black carbon.

A review of the historical air toxics monitoring dataset [8] argues that benzene, formaldehyde, 1,3-butadiene, acrolein, arsenic, hexavalent chromium, and diesel particulate pose the greatest risk to the public health on a national level. Only two of the seven national risk drivers are discussed in this report, and only four are quantified by the limited air toxics sampling currently conducted in Iowa.

Sampling Schedules

Samples were gathered on a nominal schedule of one sample every twelfth day. In calculations of average pollutant levels and cancer risks, samples collected on a more frequent schedule were averaged over the twelve day period between scheduled samples to estimate a one in twelve sampling schedule and avoid introduction of bias to the data. The monitoring schedule for formaldehyde and acetaldehyde was accelerated to one in six days during ozone season (April through October).

Data Capture

For the purpose of this report, we define a valid twelve-day average as an average constructed from one or more samples collected during the scheduled twelve-day sampling period. The data capture rate is defined as the ratio of the number of valid twelve-day averages divided by the number of scheduled twelve-day periods in the year (30). EPA data analysis guidelines usually require 75% data completeness across each sampling quarter. All Iowa sites met the data capture goal for formaldehyde and acetaldehyde.

Data Handling

This report characterizes only the cancer risk associated with exposure to the toxic contaminants measured, and does not quantify other “non-cancer” risks such as neurological or reproductive damage associated with the measured exposure levels. The cancer risk associated with a given exposure level was quantified only when an Air Unit Cancer Risk was available in EPA’s Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) database. Pollutants were selected for inclusion in this report based on the screening criterion that the excess cancer risk resulting from a lifetime exposure to the average contaminant concentration was greater than the EPA benchmark of one in a million. When calculating the cancer risks and annual summary statistics for the selected pollutants, reported data values less than the method detection limit (MDL) are replaced with data values equal to half the MDL. No sites reported concentrations under the MDL in 2015.

Data from January 6, 2015 through October 9, 2015 was affected by a lab issue and should be considered as estimates.

Precision Data

Precision data are reported for the total number of collocated pairs of canisters or cartridges collected. Precision statistics shown in this report have been calculated according to 40 CFR Part 58, Appendix A (2006) using the methodology applicable to collocated fine particulate data pairs. The formulas are reproduced in Appendix A.

Results of the Analysis


Formaldehyde and acetaldehyde concentrations were measured at levels above the EPA benchmark at all Iowa sites. Formaldehyde levels measured during the study period are associated with a much higher cancer risk than acetaldehyde at the Iowa sites in 2015.

IRIS specifies different levels of certainty associated with its cancer risk factors. Formaldehyde is a Class B1 carcinogen, and acetaldehyde is classified as a Class B2 carcinogen. Class B contains probable human carcinogens; Class B1 pollutants are associated with limited evidence of carcinogenicity in humans but sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals, whereas a B2 classification indicates only sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals [9].

A primary contaminant is directly emitted into the ambient air from its source. A secondary contaminant is formed from a chemical reaction of other contaminants already present in the atmosphere from natural or anthropogenic sources.

Benzene is a primary contaminant, with emissions largely attributed to vehicular traffic. Formaldehyde and acetaldehyde are both primary and secondary contaminants. Motor vehicle emissions contribute to primary emissions by incomplete combustion of fuel; secondary formation results from photochemical oxidation of exhaust pipe pollutants. Secondary formation of these pollutants is enhanced in the summertime due to suitable weather conditions such as higher temperature and greater hours of sunlight. Formaldehyde is also produced in large quantities by natural events such as forest or brush fires [10]. In interpreting the results of the risk assessment contained in this type of report, EPA has encouraged States to compare the risks caused by toxic outdoor air pollution to other risks experienced in everyday life. The highest excess lifetime cancer risk identified in this report is approximately three excess cancers per 100,000 people (3×10^{-5}), associated with average formaldehyde levels at the source-oriented site in Clinton. For comparison, according to the 2016 edition of *Injury Facts* published by the National Safety Council, the lifetime risk of dying in a motor vehicle accident is 8.9×10^{-3} , or approximately 280 times higher. The lifetime risk of being killed by lightning is 5.7×10^{-6} , or approximately 5.2 times lower than developing cancer at this level of formaldehyde exposure [11].

Benzene concentrations have been falling since 2010 across the three monitored sites in Iowa (chart below). As a result, benzene analysis will be discontinued effective July 1, 2016.




References

1. Federal rules regulating air toxics: <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/eparules.html>
2. Current list of HAPs and their health effects:
<http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/hapindex.html>
3. EPA regulations limiting HAPs emissions: <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/mactfnlalph.html>
4. EPA's latest national assessment of health risks due to HAPs:
<https://www.epa.gov/national-air-toxics-assessment>
5. Residual risk assessments: <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/risk/rtrpg.html>
6. Current list of NATTS sites:
<http://www.epa.gov/ttnamti1/files/ambient/airtox/nattsite.pdf>
7. Sampling protocol used to operate NATTS sites:
http://www.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/files/ambient/airtox/NATTS_Model_QAPP.pdf
8. Historical review of air toxics monitoring data: <http://www.ladco.org/reports/toxics/sti/>
9. Integrated Risk Information System: <http://www.epa.gov/iris>
10. Reinhardt TE, Ottmar RD. "Baseline Measurements of Smoke Exposure Among Wildland Firefighters." *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene* 2004 Sep; 1 (9):593-606.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=Baseline%20Measurements%20of%20Smoke%20Exposure%20Among%20Wildland>
11. Mortality Odds: <http://www.nsc.org/learn/safety-knowledge/Pages/injury-facts-chart.aspx>


Air Toxics Monitoring Network 2015

Site ID	Site Label	City	Address	County
190450019	Clinton, Chancy Park	Clinton	23 rd & Camanche	Clinton
191130040	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Cedar Rapids	500 11 th St. NW	Linn
191390020	Muscatine, Musser Park	Muscatine	Oregon St. & Earl Ave.	Muscatine
191530030	Des Moines, Health Dept.	Des Moines	1907 Carpenter Ave.	Polk
191630015	Davenport, Jefferson School	Davenport	10 th St. & Vine St.	Scott


Iowa 2015 Air Toxics Monitoring Network




Clinton, Chancy Park




Cedar Rapids, Public Health



Muscatine, Musser Park



Des Moines, Health Dept.



Davenport, Jefferson School




Excess Cancer Risk per Million People, Aldehydes – 2015

Site / Pollutant	Clinton, Chancy Park	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Muscatine, Musser Park	Des Moines, Public Health	Davenport, Jefferson School
Formaldehyde	30 (±3)	24 (±4)	27 (±4)	26 (±4)	25 (±4)
Acetaldehyde	9.6 (±2.7)	3.2 (±0.5)	10.8 (±5.5)	2.6 (±0.3)	2.7 (±0.4)

Values listed in parentheses represent the 95% Confidence Interval.

Excess Cancer Risk per Million People, Aldehydes - 2015



Concentration Summary – Aldehydes (ppb)

Site / Pollutant	Clinton, Chancy Park	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Muscatine, Musser Park	Des Moines, Public Health	Davenport, Jefferson School
Formaldehyde	1.9 (±0.2)	1.5 (±0.2)	1.7 (±0.3)	1.7 (±0.3)	1.6 (±0.2)
Acetaldehyde	2.4 (±0.7)	0.8 (±0.1)	2.7 (±1.3)	0.7 (±0.1)	0.7 (±0.1)


Notes: Values indicated are the average concentrations in parts per billion measured at each site in 2015.
 Data from enhanced summer monitoring at the site were averaged to prevent seasonal bias.
 Values listed in parentheses represent the 95% Confidence Interval for the mean.

Excess Cancer Risk* per Million People, Benzene – 2015

Site / Pollutant	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Des Moines, Public Health	Davenport, Jefferson School
Benzene	4.6 (±0.8)	4.4 (±0.8)	3.8 (±0.6)

*IRIS lists two cancer risk estimates for Benzene, and the higher risk estimate is used for the statistics in this report.
Values listed in parentheses represent the 95% Confidence Interval.

Excess Cancer Risk per Million People, Benzene – 2015



Concentration Summary – Benzene (ppb)

Site / Pollutant	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Des Moines, Public Health	Davenport, Jefferson School
Benzene	0.19 (± 0.03)	0.18 (± 0.03)	0.15 (± 0.02)

Notes: Values indicated are the average concentrations in parts per billion measured at each site in 2015. Values listed in parentheses represent the 95% Confidence Interval for the mean.

Percent Data Capture

Site / Pollutant	Clinton, Chancy Park	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Muscatine, Musser Park	Des Moines, Public Health	Davenport, Jefferson School
Formaldehyde	100%	97%	100%	97%	100%
Acetaldehyde	100%	97%	100%	97%	100%
Benzene	-	97%	-	93%	93%


Note: Values indicated represent the number of valid samples taken relative to the scheduled number of samples at each site in 2015.

Annual Toxics Precision Statistics


Statistic / Pollutant	Number of Pairs	Coefficient of Variation	Lower 90% Confidence Limit	Upper 90% Confidence Limit
Formaldehyde	70	1.87%	1.64%	2.17%
Acetaldehyde	70	1.70%	1.49%	1.98%
Benzene	26	8.56%	7.0%	11.1%

Notes: Statistic generated from collocated sample pairs. Coefficient of variation and confidence limits were calculated according to 2006 methods in Appendix A.

Formaldehyde Concentrations 2015




Acetaldehyde Concentrations 2015



Benzene Concentrations 2015

EPA
Cancer
Risk
Levels
 2×10^{-5}



Raw Data - Formaldehyde Concentration (ppb)

Date	Clinton, Chancy Park	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Muscatine, Musser Park	Des Moines, Health Dept.	Davenport, Jefferson School
1/6/2015	1.4	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.8
1/18/2015	1.1	0.9	1.0		0.8
1/30/2015	1.5	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.9
2/11/2015	1.2	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.9
2/17/2015				0.7	
2/23/2015	1.4	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.9
3/7/2015	1.9	1.2	1.3	1.6	1.2
3/19/2015	1.6	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2
3/31/2015	1.9		1.6	1.7	1.7
4/6/2015	2.2	1.6	1.5		1.5
4/9/2015				0.6	
4/12/2015	2.4	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.8
4/18/2015	3.0		2.2		3.5
4/24/2015	2.3	1.3	1.6	1.5	1.7
4/30/2015	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.6
5/6/2015	2.1		1.7	2.1	2.0
5/9/2015		1.4			
5/12/2015	1.4	1.1	1.5	1.6	1.1
5/18/2015	1.5	0.8	1.1	0.7	1.1
5/24/2015	2.6	2.2	2.2	1.8	2.1
5/27/2015		1.7		1.9	
5/30/2015	1.2	0.8	1.6	0.8	0.9
6/5/2015	2.6	2.4	3.4	2.6	2.4
6/11/2015	3.0	2.6	3.3	2.1	2.3
6/17/2015	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.3	1.9
6/23/2015	2.1	1.7	2.8	2.2	1.7
6/29/2015	1.8	1.7	2.4	2.2	1.3
7/5/2015	2.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	2.5
7/11/2015	1.6	1.9	1.4	2.1	1.4
7/17/2015	2.7	2.8	2.5	3.5	3.3
7/23/2015	2.2	2.2	1.8	2.7	2.4
7/29/2015	1.8	1.5	2.1	1.9	1.6
8/4/2015	2.0	1.8	1.7	2.6	2.1
8/10/2015	1.9	1.7	1.5	1.7	1.7
8/16/2015	3.8	3.4	3.2	3.6	3.2
8/22/2015	2.3	2.5	1.8	2.4	2.1
8/28/2015	1.7	1.6	1.5	2.2	1.7
9/3/2015	3.7	2.9	2.6	2.9	3.6
9/9/2015	2.1	1.9	1.8	1.8	2.0
9/15/2015	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.2	3.0
9/21/2015	2.2	1.8	3.1	2.0	1.9
9/27/2015	2.1	2.0	1.7	2.0	1.8
10/3/2015	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.2	0.9
10/9/2015	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.5	0.9
10/15/2015	2.0	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.7
10/21/2015	2.7	2.2	2.7	2.3	2.3
10/27/2015	1.3	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.9
11/2/2015	2.2		1.5	1.2	1.6
11/8/2015		1.2			
11/14/2015	1.9	1.8	2.1	1.4	1.6
11/26/2015	0.9	0.6	1.0	0.4	0.8
12/8/2015	1.0	0.9	0.8	1.0	1.0
12/20/2015	1.4	1.4	2.4	1.2	1.3

Raw Data - Acetaldehyde Concentration (ppb)

Date	Clinton, Chancy Park	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Muscatine, Musser Park	Des Moines, Health Dept.	Davenport, Jefferson School
1/6/2015	0.75	0.54	0.63	0.53	0.46
1/18/2015	0.45	0.45	0.62		0.39
1/30/2015	1.10	0.48	1.60	0.42	0.42
2/11/2015	0.53	0.36	0.48	0.38	0.44
2/17/2015				0.37	
2/23/2015	0.79	0.40	0.59	0.46	0.44
3/7/2015	0.89	0.72	0.84	0.83	0.62
3/19/2015	1.90	0.53	0.58	0.50	0.54
3/31/2015	0.83		0.71	0.77	0.69
4/6/2015	2.30	0.78	3.10		0.63
4/9/2015				0.35	
4/12/2015	5.40	0.72	6.50	0.62	0.71
4/18/2015	1.50		1.20		2.20
4/24/2015	3.80	0.91	0.74	0.61	0.91
4/30/2015	2.50	1.10	0.62	0.69	0.63
5/6/2015	5.40		2.20	0.80	0.88
5/9/2015		0.58			
5/12/2015	0.45	0.46	0.48	0.58	0.38
5/18/2015	0.54	0.31	0.51	0.29	0.44
5/24/2015	5.80	0.97	3.40	0.60	0.82
5/27/2015		0.70		0.70	
5/30/2015	0.51	0.98	1.80	0.31	0.42
6/5/2015	0.81	2.20	1.10	0.90	0.88
6/11/2015	1.20	1.80	7.60	0.83	0.90
6/17/2015	3.00	0.95	1.20	0.76	0.76
6/23/2015	0.72	0.69	0.92	0.61	0.66
6/29/2015	0.64	0.54	0.67	0.66	0.49
7/5/2015	5.60	1.10	4.60	0.83	0.91
7/11/2015	5.40	0.91	0.84	0.90	0.74
7/17/2015	2.20	0.91	2.20	1.10	1.20
7/23/2015	5.00	0.89	0.59	0.72	0.99
7/29/2015	0.67	0.47	0.76	0.89	0.49
8/4/2015	0.70	0.64	0.55	0.88	0.69
8/10/2015	0.70	0.51	0.48	0.48	0.59
8/16/2015	1.60	0.82	6.30	0.74	0.79
8/22/2015	10.00	0.71	2.20	0.60	0.69
8/28/2015	4.50	0.77	1.10	0.64	0.79
9/3/2015	2.10	1.10	1.90	0.86	1.30
9/9/2015	1.80	1.00	0.65	0.70	0.84
9/15/2015	6.00	1.10	12.00	0.80	0.94
9/21/2015	7.60	1.00	3.90	0.68	0.99
9/27/2015	5.00	1.30	3.60	0.83	1.10
10/3/2015	0.52	1.90	0.47	0.50	0.42
10/9/2015	0.54	0.71	0.60	0.78	0.55
10/15/2015	0.97	0.77	1.30	0.67	0.87
10/21/2015	1.70	1.30	4.10	1.40	1.20
10/27/2015	0.96	0.79	0.55	0.65	0.63
11/2/2015	1.40		5.30	0.55	0.82
11/8/2015		0.58			
11/14/2015	2.90	1.10	2.30	0.76	0.76
11/26/2015	1.60	0.42	6.30	0.29	0.38
12/8/2015	7.50	0.56	3.80	0.57	0.52
12/20/2015	3.40	1.10	20.00	0.63	0.49

Raw Data – Benzene Concentration (ppb)

Date	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Des Moines, Health Dept.	Davenport, Jefferson School
1/6/2015	0.286		0.241
1/12/2015		0.255	
1/18/2015	0.310	0.530	0.185
1/30/2015	0.237	0.238	0.196
2/11/2015	0.168	0.137	0.143
2/23/2015	0.210	0.222	0.231
3/7/2015	0.235		0.151
3/19/2015	0.176	0.196	0.208
3/31/2015	0.245	0.253	0.201
4/12/2015		0.138	
4/24/2015	0.176	0.129	0.165
4/30/2015	0.119	0.114	0.117
5/6/2015		0.111	0.139
5/12/2015	0.085		
5/18/2015	0.060	0.070	0.068
5/30/2015	0.062	0.075	0.063
6/11/2015	0.175	0.171	0.094
6/23/2015	0.119	0.087	0.062
7/5/2015	0.303	0.163	0.229
7/17/2015	0.145		0.125
7/29/2015	0.092	0.168	0.085
8/10/2015	0.131	0.160	0.159
8/13/2015		0.133	
8/22/2015	0.103	0.163	0.105
9/3/2015	0.225	0.157	0.209
9/15/2015	0.103	0.141	0.103
9/27/2015	0.155	0.177	0.127
10/9/2015	0.107	0.129	0.054
10/21/2015	0.140	0.197	
11/2/2015		0.119	0.155
11/8/2015	0.300		
11/14/2015	0.389	0.167	0.232
11/26/2015	0.159	0.168	0.145
12/8/2015	0.290	0.234	0.275
12/20/2015	0.189	0.218	0.138
12/26/2015			0.205

* Data from January 6, 2015 through October 9, 2015 was affected by a lab issue and should be considered as estimates.

Appendix A. Precision Calculations

Let c_i^1 and c_i^2 represent two concentrations from a particular monitoring location taken on the same day. If both are greater than the MDL, then they may be used to estimate the precision of the data at the sampling location as follows:

First compute the average:

$$\bar{c}_i = \frac{c_i^1 + c_i^2}{2}$$

And the mean difference:

$$d_i = \frac{c_i^1 - c_i^2}{\bar{c}_i} * 100$$

Define the coefficient of variation for the pair of samples as:

$$CV_i = \frac{d_i}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Compute the root mean square of the individual coefficients of variation to determine the coefficient of variation of the data at the site for the entire year:

$$CV = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n CV_i^2}{n}}$$

Finally, compute confidence limits in the usual way:

$$\text{Lower Confidence Limit} = CV \sqrt{\frac{n}{X^{-1}(0.05, n)}}$$

$$\text{Upper Confidence Limit} = CV \sqrt{\frac{n}{X^{-1}(0.95, n)}}$$

Where X^{-1} represents the inverse of the chi-squared distribution.